arXiv:1602.01071v2 [math.AP] 5 Feb 2016

Asymmetric critical p-Laplacian problems*

Kanishka Perera

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, USA. Email: kperera@fit.edu

Yang Yang

School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, 214122, China. Email: yynjnu@126.com

Zhitao Zhang†

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. Email: zzt@math.ac.cn

Abstract

We obtain nontrivial solutions for two types of critical p-Laplacian problems with asymmetric nonlinearities in a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$. For $p < N$, we consider an asymmetric problem involving the critical Sobolev exponent $p^* = Np/(N-p)$. In the borderline case $p = N$, we consider an asymmetric critical exponential nonlinearity of the Trudinger-Moser type. In the absence of a suitable direct sum decomposition, we use a linking theorem based on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-cohomological index to obtain our solutions.

MSC2010: Primary 35B33; Secondary 35J92, 35J20.

Key Words and Phrases: p-Laplacian problems, asymmetric nonlinearities,

*Supported by NSFC (11325107, 11271353, 11501252, 11571176) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China for Young Scholars (No. BK2012109).

†Corresponding author.

critical Sobolev exponent, Trudinger-Moser inequality, linking, $\mathbb{Z}_2$-cohomological index.

1 Introduction

Beginning with the seminal paper of Ambrosetti and Prodi [3], elliptic boundary value problems with asymmetric nonlinearities have been extensively studied (see, e.g., Berger and Podolak [5], Kazdan and Warner [16], Dancer [7], Amann and Hess [2], and the references therein). More recently, Deng [12], de Figueiredo and Yang [9], Aubin and Wang [4], Calanchi and Ruf [6], and Zhang et al. [27] have obtained interesting existence and multiplicity results for semilinear Ambrosetti-Prodi type problems with critical nonlinearities using variational methods.

In the present paper, first we consider the asymmetric critical p-Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + u_+^{p^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \qquad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, $1 < p < N$, $p^* = Np/(N-p)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, and $u_+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$. We recall that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ in Ω if the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \qquad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

has a nontrivial solution. The first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(p)$ is positive, simple, and has an associated eigenfunction φ_1 that is positive in Ω. Problem (1.1) has a positive solution when $N \geq p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(p)$ (see Guedda and Véron [15]). When $\lambda = \lambda_1(p)$, $t\varphi_1$ is clearly a negative solution for any $t < 0$. Here we focus on the case $\lambda > \lambda_1(p)$. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If $N \geq p^2$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1(p)$ is not an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$, then problem* (1.1) *has a nontrivial solution.*

In the borderline case $p = N \geq 2$, critical growth is of exponential type and is governed by the Trudinger-Moser inequality

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega),\, \|u\| \leq 1} \int_\Omega e^{\,\alpha_N |u|^{N'}} dx < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha_N = N\omega_{N-1}^{1/(N-1)}$, ω_{N-1} is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and $N' = N/(N-1)$ (see Trudinger [25] and Moser [20]). A natural analog of problem (1.1) for this case is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_N u = \lambda |u|^{N-2} u\, e^{u_+^{N'}} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \qquad u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

A result of Adimurthi [1] implies that this problem has a nonnegative and nontrivial solution when $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(N)$ (see also do Ó [19]). When $\lambda = \lambda_1(N)$, $t\varphi_1$ is again a negative solution for any $t < 0$. Our second result here is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *If $N \geq 2$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1(N)$ is not an eigenvalue of $-\Delta_N$, then problem* (1.4) *has a nontrivial solution.*

These results complement those in [4, 6, 9, 12, 27] concerning the semilinear case $p = 2$. However, the linking arguments based on eigenspaces of $-\Delta$ used in those papers do not apply to the quasilinear case $p \neq 2$ since the nonlinear operator $-\Delta_p$ does not have linear eigenspaces. Therefore we will use more general constructions based on sublevel sets as in Perera and Szulkin [23]. Moreover, the standard sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_p$ based on the genus does not provide sufficient information about the structure of the sublevel sets to carry out these linking constructions, so we will use a different sequence of eigenvalues introduced in Perera [21] that is based on a cohomological index.

The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-cohomological index of Fadell and Rabinowitz [13] is defined as follows. Let W be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $W \setminus \{0\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\overline{A} = A/\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the quotient space of A with each u and $-u$ identified, let $f : \overline{A} \to \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^\infty$ be the classifying map of $\overline{A}$, and let $f^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^\infty) \to H^*(\overline{A})$

be the induced homomorphism of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology rings. The cohomological index of A is defined by

$$i(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\ \sup\{m \geq 1 : f^*(\omega^{m-1}) \neq 0\} & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$ is the generator of the polynomial ring $H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega]$.

Example 1.3. The classifying map of the unit sphere S^{m-1} in $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$ is the inclusion $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^\infty$, which induces isomorphisms on the cohomology groups H^q for $q \leq m-1$, so $i(S^{m-1}) = m$.

The following proposition summarizes the basic properties of this index.

Proposition 1.4 (Fadell-Rabinowitz [13])**.** *The index $i : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ has the following properties:*

(i_1) *Definiteness: $i(A) = 0$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$.*

(i_2) *Monotonicity: If there is an odd continuous map from A to B (in particular, if $A \subset B$), then $i(A) \leq i(B)$. Thus, equality holds when the map is an odd homeomorphism.*

(i_3) *Dimension: $i(A) \leq \dim W$.*

(i_4) *Continuity: If A is closed, then there is a closed neighborhood $N \in \mathcal{A}$ of A such that $i(N) = i(A)$. When A is compact, N may be chosen to be a δ-neighborhood $N_\delta(A) = \{u \in W : dist\,(u, A) \leq \delta\}$.*

(i_5) *Subadditivity: If A and B are closed, then $i(A \cup B) \leq i(A) + i(B)$.*

(i_6) *Stability: If SA is the suspension of $A \neq \emptyset$, obtained as the quotient space of $A \times [-1, 1]$ with $A \times \{1\}$ and $A \times \{-1\}$ collapsed to different points, then $i(SA) = i(A) + 1$.*

(i_7) *Piercing property: If A, A_0 and A_1 are closed, and $\varphi : A \times [0, 1] \to A_0 \cup A_1$ is a continuous map such that $\varphi(-u, t) = -\varphi(u, t)$ for all $(u, t) \in A \times [0, 1]$, $\varphi(A \times [0, 1])$ is closed, $\varphi(A \times \{0\}) \subset A_0$ and $\varphi(A \times \{1\}) \subset A_1$, then $i(\varphi(A \times [0, 1]) \cap A_0 \cap A_1) \geq i(A)$.*

(i_8) *Neighborhood of zero: If U is a bounded closed symmetric neighborhood of* 0, *then* $i(\partial U) = \dim W$.

For $1 < p < \infty$, eigenvalues of problem (1.2) coincide with critical values of the functional

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |u|^p \, dx}, \quad u \in \mathcal{M} = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \, dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the class of symmetric subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ and set

$$\lambda_k(p) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{F},\, i(M) \geq k} \sup_{u \in M} \Psi(u), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $0 < \lambda_1(p) < \lambda_2(p) \leq \lambda_3(p) \leq \cdots \to \infty$ is a sequence of eigenvalues of (1.2) and

$$\lambda_k(p) < \lambda_{k+1}(p) \implies i(\Psi^{\lambda_k(p)}) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}) = k, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\Psi^a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \leq a\}$ and $\Psi_a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \geq a\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Perera et al. [22, Propositions 3.52 and 3.53]). As we will see, problems (1.1) and (1.4) have nontrivial solutions as long as λ is not an eigenvalue from the sequence $(\lambda_k(p))$. This leaves an open question of existence of nontrivial solutions when λ belongs to this sequence.

We will prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 using the following abstract critical point theorem proved in Yang and Perera [26], which generalizes the well-known linking theorem of Rabinowitz [24].

Theorem 1.5. *Let Φ be a C^1-functional defined on a Banach space W and let A_0 and B_0 be disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of the unit sphere $S = \{u \in W : \|u\| = 1\}$ such that*

$$i(A_0) = i(S \setminus B_0) < \infty.$$

Assume that there exist $R > r > 0$ and $v \in S \setminus A_0$ such that

$$\sup \Phi(A) \leq \inf \Phi(B), \qquad \sup \Phi(X) < \infty,$$

where

$$A = \{tu : u \in A_0,\, 0 \le t \le R\} \cup \{R\,\pi((1-t)\,u + tv) : u \in A_0,\, 0 \le t \le 1\}\,,$$

$$B = \{ru : u \in B_0\}\,,$$

$$X = \{tu : u \in A,\, \|u\| = R,\, 0 \le t \le 1\}\,,$$

and $\pi : W \setminus \{0\} \to S$, $u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ *is the radial projection onto* S. *Let* $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C(X, W) : \gamma(X)$ *is closed and* $\gamma|_A = id_A\}$ *and set*

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in \gamma(X)} \Phi(u).$$

Then

$$\inf \Phi(B) \le c \le \sup \Phi(X), \tag{1.6}$$

in particular, c *is finite. If, in addition,* Φ *satisfies the* $(\mathrm{C})_c$ *condition, then* c *is a critical value of* Φ.

This theorem was stated and proved under the Palais-Smale compactness condition in [26], but the proof goes through unchanged since the first deformation lemma also holds under the Cerami condition (see, e.g., Perera et al. [22, Lemma 3.7]). The linking construction used in the proof has also been used in Perera and Szulkin [23] to obtain nontrivial solutions of p-Laplacian problems with nonlinearities that cross an eigenvalue. A similar construction based on the notion of cohomological linking was given in Degiovanni and Lancelotti [10]. See also Perera et al. [22, Proposition 3.23].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Weak solutions of problem (1.1) coincide with critical points of the C^1-functional

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{p}\left(|\nabla u|^p - \lambda\,|u|^p\right) - \frac{1}{p^*}\,u_+^{p^*}\right] dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

We recall that Φ satisfies the Cerami compactness condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or the $(\mathrm{C})_c$ condition for short, if every sequence $(u_j) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\Phi(u_j) \to c$ and $\big(1 + \|u_j\|\big)\, \Phi'(u_j) \to 0$, called a $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequence, has a convergent subsequence. Let

$$S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\displaystyle\left(\int_\Omega |u|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{p/p^*}} \tag{2.1}$$

be the best constant in the Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\lambda \neq \lambda_1(p)$, then Φ satisfies the $(\mathrm{C})_c$ condition for all $c < \dfrac{1}{N}\, S^{N/p}$.*

Proof. Let $c < \dfrac{1}{N}\, S^{N/p}$ and let (u_j) be a $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequence. First we show that (u_j) is bounded. We have

$$\int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{p} \left(|\nabla u_j|^p - \lambda\, |u_j|^p \right) - \frac{1}{p^*}\, u_{j+}^{p^*} \right] dx = c + \mathrm{o}(1) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u_j|^{p-2}\, \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v - \lambda\, |u_j|^{p-2}\, u_j\, v - u_{j+}^{p^*-1}\, v \right) dx = \frac{\mathrm{o}(1)\, \|v\|}{1 + \|u_j\|}, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \tag{2.3}$$

Taking $v = u_j$ in (2.3) and combining with (2.2) gives

$$\int_\Omega u_{j+}^{p^*} \, dx = Nc + \mathrm{o}(1), \tag{2.4}$$

and taking $v = u_{j+}$ in (2.3) gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_{j+}|^p \, dx = \int_\Omega \left(\lambda\, u_{j+}^p + u_{j+}^{p^*} \right) dx + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

so (u_{j+}) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Suppose $\rho_j := \|u_j\| \to \infty$ for a renamed subsequence. Then $\tilde{u}_j := u_j/\rho_j$ converges to some $\tilde{u}$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \le q < p^*$, and a.e. in Ω for a further subsequence. Since the sequence (u_{j+}) is bounded, dividing (2.2) by ρ_j^p and (2.3) by ρ_j^{p-1}, and passing to the limit then gives

$$1 = \lambda \int_\Omega |\tilde{u}|^p \, dx, \qquad \int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p-2}\, \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega |\tilde{u}|^{p-1}\, \tilde{u}\, v \, dx \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

respectively. Moreover, since $\tilde{u}_{j+} = u_{j+}/\rho_j \to 0$, $\tilde{u} \le 0$ a.e. Hence $\tilde{u} = t\varphi_1$ for some $t < 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1(p)$, contrary to assumption.

Since (u_j) is bounded, so is (u_{j+}), a renamed subsequence of which then converges to some $v \ge 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \le q < p^*$ and a.e. in Ω, and

$$|\nabla u_{j+}|^p \, dx \xrightarrow{w^*} \mu, \qquad u_{j+}^{p^*} \, dx \xrightarrow{w^*} \nu \tag{2.5}$$

in the sense of measures, where μ and ν are bounded nonnegative measures on $\overline{\Omega}$ (see, e.g., Folland [14]). By the concentration compactness principle of Lions [17, 18], then there exist an at most countable index set I and points $x_i \in \overline{\Omega}$, $i \in I$ such that

$$\mu \ge |\nabla v|^p \, dx + \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \, \delta_{x_i}, \qquad \nu = v^{p^*} \, dx + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \, \delta_{x_i}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mu_i, \nu_i > 0$ and $\nu_i^{p/p^*} \le \mu_i / S$. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$. Then set

$$\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x - x_i}{\rho}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

for $i \in I$ and $\rho > 0$, and note that $\varphi_{i,\rho} : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1]$ is a smooth function such that $\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = 1$ for $|x - x_i| \le \rho$ and $\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) = 0$ for $|x - x_i| \ge 2\rho$. The sequence $(\varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+})$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence taking $v = \varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+}$ in (2.3) gives

$$\int_\Omega \left(\varphi_{i,\rho} \, |\nabla u_{j+}|^p + u_{j+} \, |\nabla u_{j+}|^{p-2} \, \nabla u_{j+} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i,\rho} - \lambda \, \varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+}^p - \varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+}^{p^*}\right) dx = \mathrm{o}(1). \tag{2.7}$$

By (2.5),

$$\int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho} \, |\nabla u_{j+}|^p \, dx \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho} \, d\mu, \qquad \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+}^{p^*} \, dx \to \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho} \, d\nu.$$

Denoting by C a generic positive constant independent of j and ρ,

$$\left| \int_\Omega \left(u_{j+} \, |\nabla u_{j+}|^{p-2} \, \nabla u_{j+} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i,\rho} - \lambda \, \varphi_{i,\rho} \, u_{j+}^p\right) dx \right| \le C \left(\frac{I_j^{1/p}}{\rho} + I_j\right),$$

where

$$I_j := \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} u_{j+}^p\,dx \to \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^p\,dx \le C\rho^p \left(\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^{p^*}\,dx\right)^{p/p^*}.$$

So passing to the limit in (2.7) gives

$$\int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\mu - \int_\Omega \varphi_{i,\rho}\,d\nu \le C\left[\left(\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^{p^*}\,dx\right)^{1/p^*} + \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)} v^p\,dx\right].$$

Letting $\rho \searrow 0$ and using (2.6) now gives $\mu_i \le \nu_i$, which together with $\nu_i^{p/p^*} \le \mu_i/S$ then gives $\nu_i = 0$ or $\nu_i \ge S^{N/p}$. Passing to the limit in (2.4) and using (2.5) and (2.6) gives $\nu_i \le Nc < S^{N/p}$, so $\nu_i = 0$. Hence $I = \emptyset$ and

$$\int_\Omega u_{j+}^{p^*}\,dx \to \int_\Omega v^{p^*}\,dx. \tag{2.8}$$

Passing to a further subsequence, u_j converges to some u weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \le q < p^*$, and a.e. in Ω. Since

$$|u_{j+}^{p^*-1}(u_j - u)| \le u_{j+}^{p^*} + u_{j+}^{p^*-1}|u| \le \left(2 - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) u_{j+}^{p^*} + \frac{1}{p^*}|u|^{p^*}$$

by Young's inequality,

$$\int_\Omega u_{j+}^{p^*-1}(u_j - u)\,dx \to 0$$

by (2.8) and the dominated convergence theorem. Then $u_j \to u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ by a standard argument. □

We recall that the infimum in (2.1) is attained by the family of functions

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{C_{N,p}\,\varepsilon^{-(N-p)/p}}{\left[1 + \left(\dfrac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{p/(p-1)}\right]^{(N-p)/p}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$$

when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, where $C_{N,p} > 0$ is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon^{p^*}\,dx = S^{N/p}.$$

Take a smooth function $\eta : [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \le 1/4$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \ge 1/2$, and set

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\delta}\right) u_\varepsilon(x), \quad \varepsilon, \delta > 0.$$

We have the well-known estimates

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|^p \, dx \le S^{N/p} + C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{(N-p)/(p-1)}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p^*} \, dx \ge S^{N/p} - C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{N/(p-1)}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p} \, dx \ge \begin{cases} \dfrac{\varepsilon^p}{C} - C\delta^p \left(\dfrac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{(N-p)/(p-1)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ \dfrac{\varepsilon^p}{C} \log\left(\dfrac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) - C\varepsilon^p & \text{if } N = p^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

where $C = C(N,p) > 0$ is a constant (see, e.g., Degiovanni and Lancelotti [11]).

Let i, $\mathcal{M}$, Ψ, and $\lambda_k(p)$ be as in the introduction, and suppose that $\lambda_k(p) < \lambda_{k+1}(p)$. Then the sublevel set $\Psi^{\lambda_k(p)}$ has a compact symmetric subset E of index k that is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}_{\rm loc}(\Omega)$ (see [11, Theorem 2.3]). We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \Omega$. Let $\delta_0 = \operatorname{dist}(0, \partial\Omega)$, take a smooth function $\theta : [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ such that $\theta(s) = 0$ for $s \le 3/4$ and $\theta(s) = 1$ for $s \ge 1$, set

$$v_\delta(x) = \theta\left(\frac{|x|}{\delta}\right) v(x), \quad v \in E,\ 0 < \delta \le \frac{\delta_0}{2},$$

and let $E_\delta = \{\pi(v_\delta) : v \in E\}$, where $\pi : W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathcal{M}$, $u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ is the radial projection onto $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a constant $C = C(N,p,\Omega,k) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,*

(i) $\Psi(w) \le \lambda_k(p) + C\delta^{N-p} \quad \forall w \in E_\delta$,

(ii) $E_\delta \cap \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)} = \emptyset$,

(iii) $i(E_\delta) = k$,

(iv) $\operatorname{supp} w \cap \operatorname{supp} \pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = \emptyset \quad \forall w \in E_\delta$,

(v) $\pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \notin E_\delta$.

Proof. Let $v \in E$ and let $w = \pi(v_\delta)$. We have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v_\delta|^p \, dx \le \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)} |\nabla v|^p \, dx + C \int_{B_\delta(0)} \left(|\nabla v|^p + \frac{|v|^p}{\delta^p} \right) dx \le 1 + C\delta^{N-p}$$

since $E \subset \mathcal{M}$ is bounded in $C^1(B_{\delta_0/2}(0))$, and

$$\int_\Omega |v_\delta|^p \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\delta(0)} |v|^p \, dx = \int_\Omega |v|^p \, dx - \int_{B_\delta(0)} |v|^p \, dx \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_k(p)} - C\delta^N$$

since $E \subset \Psi^{\lambda_k(p)}$, so

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla v_\delta|^p \, dx}{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |v_\delta|^p \, dx} \le \lambda_k(p) + C\delta^{N-p}$$

if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. Taking δ so small that $\lambda_k(p) + C\delta^{N-p} < \lambda_{k+1}(p)$ then gives (ii). Since $E_\delta \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}$ by (ii),

$$i(E_\delta) \le i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}) = k$$

by the monotonicity of the index and (1.5). On the other hand, since $E \to E_\delta$, $v \mapsto \pi(v_\delta)$ is an odd continuous map,

$$i(E_\delta) \ge i(E) = k.$$

So $i(E_\delta) = k$.

Since $\operatorname{supp} w = \operatorname{supp} v_\delta \subset \Omega \setminus B_{3\delta/4}(0)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = \operatorname{supp} u_{\varepsilon,\delta} \subset \overline{B_{\delta/2}(0)}$, (iv) is clear, and (v) is immediate from (iv). □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have $\lambda_k(p) < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}(p)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\lambda_k(p) < \lambda' < \lambda$ and $\delta > 0$ so small that the conclusions of Lemma 2.2 hold with $\lambda_k(p) + C\delta^{N-p} \le \lambda'$, in particular,

$$\Psi(w) \le \lambda' \quad \forall w \in E_\delta. \tag{2.12}$$

Then take $A_0 = E_\delta$ and $B_0 = \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}$, and note that A_0 and B_0 are disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$i(A_0) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus B_0) = k$$

by Lemma 2.2 (iii) and (1.5). Now let $R > r > 0$, let $v_0 = \pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta})$, which is in $\mathcal{M} \setminus E_\delta$ by Lemma 2.2 (v), and let A, B, and X be as in Theorem 1.5.

For $u \in \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}$,

$$\Phi(ru) \ge \frac{1}{p}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}(p)}\right) r^p - \frac{1}{p^*\, S^{p^*/p}}\, r^{p^*}$$

by (2.1). Since $\lambda < \lambda_{k+1}(p)$, it follows that $\inf \Phi(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small. Next we show that $\Phi \le 0$ on A if R is sufficiently large. For $w \in E_\delta$ and $t \ge 0$,

$$\Phi(tw) \le \frac{t^p}{p}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\Psi(w)}\right) \le -\frac{t^p}{p}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} - 1\right) \le 0$$

by (2.12). Now let $0 \le t \le 1$ and set $u = \pi((1-t)\, w + t v_0)$. Since

$$\|(1-t)\, w + t v_0\| \le (1-t)\, \|w\| + t\, \|v_0\| = 1$$

and since the supports of w and $v_0 \ge 0$ are disjoint by Lemma 2.2 (iv),

$$|u|_p^p = \frac{|(1-t)\, w + t v_0|_p^p}{\|(1-t)\, w + t v_0\|^p} \ge (1-t)^p\, |w|_p^p + t^p\, |v_0|_p^p \ge \frac{(1-t)^p}{\Psi(w)} \ge \frac{(1-t)^p}{\lambda'}$$

by (2.12), and

$$|u_+|_{p^*}^{p^*} = \frac{|[(1-t)\, w + t v_0]_+|_{p^*}^{p^*}}{\|(1-t)\, w + t v_0\|^{p^*}} \ge (1-t)^{p^*}\, |w_+|_{p^*}^{p^*} + t^{p^*}\, |v_0|_{p^*}^{p^*} \ge t^{p^*}\, \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_{p^*}^{p^*}}{\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|^{p^*}} \ge \frac{t^{p^*}}{C}$$

by (2.9) and (2.10) if ε is sufficiently small, where $C = C(N, p, \Omega, k) > 0$. Then

$$\Phi(Ru) = \frac{R^p}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda R^p}{p} |u|_p^p - \frac{R^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_+|_{p^*}^{p^*} \le -\frac{1}{p} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} (1-t)^p - 1 \right] R^p - \frac{t^{p^*}}{C} R^{p^*}.$$

The last expression is clearly nonpositive if $t \le 1 - (\lambda'/\lambda)^{1/p} =: t_0$. For $t > t_0$, it is nonpositive if R is sufficiently large.

Now we show that $\sup \Phi(X) < \dfrac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$ if ε is sufficiently small. Noting that

$$X = \{\rho\, \pi((1-t)\, w + t v_0) : w \in E_\delta,\, 0 \le t \le 1,\, 0 \le \rho \le R\},$$

let $w \in E_\delta$, let $0 \le t \le 1$, and set $u = \pi((1-t)\, w + t v_0)$. Then

$$\sup_{0 \le \rho \le R} \Phi(\rho u) \le \sup_{\rho \ge 0} \left[\frac{\rho^p}{p} \left(1 - \lambda\, |u|_p^p \right) - \frac{\rho^{p^*}}{p^*} |u_+|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right] = \frac{1}{N} S_u(\lambda)^{N/p}$$

when $1 - \lambda\, |u|_p^p > 0$, where

$$S_u(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \lambda\, |u|_p^p}{|u_+|_{p^*}^p} = \frac{\|(1-t)\, w + t v_0\|^p - \lambda\, |(1-t)\, w + t v_0|_p^p}{|[(1-t)\, w + t v_0]_+|_{p^*}^p}$$

$$= \frac{(1-t)^p \left(\|w\|^p - \lambda\, |w|_p^p \right) + t^p \left(\|v_0\|^p - \lambda\, |v_0|_p^p \right)}{\left[(1-t)^{p^*} |w_+|_{p^*}^{p^*} + t^{p^*} |v_0|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right]^{p/p^*}}.$$

Since $\|w\|^p - \lambda\, |w|_p^p = 1 - \lambda/\Psi(w) \le 0$ by (2.12),

$$S_u(\lambda) \le \frac{1 - \lambda\, |v_0|_p^p}{|v_0|_{p^*}^p} = \frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|^p - \lambda\, |u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_p^p}{|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_{p^*}^p} \le \begin{cases} S - \dfrac{\varepsilon^p}{C} + C\varepsilon^{(N-p)/(p-1)} & \text{if } N > p^2 \\ S - \dfrac{\varepsilon^p}{C} |\log \varepsilon| + C\varepsilon^p & \text{if } N = p^2 \end{cases}$$

by (2.9)–(2.11). In both cases the last expression is strictly less than S if ε is sufficiently small.

The inequalities (1.6) now imply that $0 < c < \dfrac{1}{N} S^{N/p}$. Then Φ satisfies the $(\mathrm{C})_c$ condition by Lemma 2.1 and hence c is a critical value of Φ by Theorem 1.5. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Weak solutions of problem (1.4) coincide with critical points of the C^1-functional

$$\Phi(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{N} |\nabla u|^N - \lambda F(u) \right] dx, \quad u \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega),$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^t |s|^{N-2} s \, e^{s_+^{N'}} ds.$$

First we obtain some estimates for the primitive F.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$F(t) \le \frac{t_+^N}{2N} e^{t_+^{N'}} + \frac{t_-^N}{N} + C, \tag{3.1}$$

$$F(t) \le |t|^{N-1} e^{t_+^{N'}} + \frac{t_-^N}{N} + C, \tag{3.2}$$

where C denotes a generic positive constant and $t_- = \max\{-t, 0\}$.

Proof. For $t \le 0$, $F(t) = |t|^N/N$. For $t > 0$, integrating by parts gives

$$F(t) = \int_0^t s^{N-1} e^{s^{N'}} ds = \frac{t^N}{N} e^{t^{N'}} - \frac{N'}{N} \int_0^t s^{N+N'-1} e^{s^{N'}} ds.$$

For $t \ge (N/N')^{1/N'}$, the last term is greater than or equal to

$$\frac{N'}{N} \int_{(N/N')^{1/N'}}^t s^{N+N'-1} e^{s^{N'}} ds \ge \int_{(N/N')^{1/N'}}^t s^{N-1} e^{s^{N'}} ds = F(t) - F((N/N')^{1/N'})$$

and hence

$$2F(t) \le \frac{t^N}{N} e^{t^{N'}} + F((N/N')^{1/N'}).$$

Since F is bounded on bounded sets, (3.1) follows. As for (3.2), $F(t) = (e^{t^2} - 1)/2$ for $t > 0$ if $N = 2$, and

$$F(t) = \frac{t^{N-N'}}{N'} e^{t^{N'}} - \frac{N - N'}{N'} \int_0^t s^{N-N'-1} e^{s^{N'}} ds \le t^{N-1} e^{t^{N'}}$$

for $t \ge 1/(N')^{1/(N'-1)}$ if $N \ge 3$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 will be based on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\lambda \neq \lambda_1(N)$ and $0 \neq c < \alpha_N^{N-1}/N$, then every $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequence has a subsequence that converges weakly to a nontrivial critical point of Φ.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \neq \lambda_1(N)$, let $0 \neq c < \alpha_N^{N-1}/N$, and let (u_j) be a $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequence. First we show that (u_j) is bounded. We have

$$\int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{N}\,|\nabla u_j|^N - \lambda\, F(u_j)\right] dx = c + \mathrm{o}(1) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u_j|^{N-2}\,\nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v - \lambda\,|u_j|^{N-2}\,u_j\, e^{\,u_{j+}^{N'}}\, v\right) dx = \frac{\mathrm{o}(1)\,\|v\|}{1+\|u_j\|} \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega), \tag{3.4}$$

in particular,

$$\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u_j|^N - \lambda\,|u_j|^N\, e^{\,u_{j+}^{N'}}\right) dx = \mathrm{o}(1). \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.5) with (3.3) and (3.1) gives

$$\int_\Omega u_{j+}^N\, e^{\,u_{j+}^{N'}}\, dx \le C, \tag{3.6}$$

and taking $v = u_{j+}$ in (3.4) gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_{j+}|^N\, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega u_{j+}^N\, e^{\,u_{j+}^{N'}}\, dx + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

so the sequence (u_{j+}) is bounded in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. Passing to a subsequence, u_{j+} then converges to some $\hat{u} \ge 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \le q < \infty$, and a.e. in Ω. Then for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\int_\Omega u_{j+}^{N-1}\, e^{\,u_{j+}^{N'}}\, v\, dx \to \int_\Omega \hat{u}^{N-1}\, e^{\,\hat{u}^{N'}}\, v\, dx \tag{3.7}$$

by de Figueiredo et al. [8, Lemma 2.1] and (3.6). Now suppose $\rho_j := \|u_{j-}\| \to \infty$. Then $\tilde{u}_j := u_{j-}/\rho_j$ converges to some $\tilde{u} \ge 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$

for $1 \le q < \infty$, and a.e. in Ω for a further subsequence. Taking $v = u_{j-}$ in (3.4), dividing by ρ_j^N, and passing to the limit then gives

$$1 = \lambda \int_\Omega \tilde{u}^N \, dx,$$

so $\tilde{u} \ne 0$. Since the sequence (u_{j+}) is bounded, dividing (3.4) by ρ_j^{N-1} gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}_j|^{N-2} \nabla \tilde{u}_j \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega \tilde{u}_j^{N-1} \, v \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{\rho_j^{N-1}} \int_\Omega u_{j+}^{N-1} \, e^{\, u_{j+}^{N'}} \, v \, dx + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

and passing to the limit using (3.7) gives

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{N-2} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega \tilde{u}^{N-1} \, v \, dx \quad \forall v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

This then holds for all $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ by density, so $\tilde{u} = t\varphi_1$ for some $t > 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1(N)$, contrary to assumption.

Since the sequence (u_j) is bounded, a renamed subsequence converges to some u weakly in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \le q < \infty$, and a.e. in Ω. Since $\int_\Omega |u_j|^N \, e^{\, u_{j+}^{N'}} \, dx$ is bounded by (3.5), then for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\int_\Omega |u_j|^{N-2} \, u_j \, e^{\, u_{j+}^{N'}} \, v \, dx \to \int_\Omega |u|^{N-2} \, u \, e^{\, u_+^{N'}} \, v \, dx$$

by de Figueiredo et al. [8, Lemma 2.1]. So passing to the limit in (3.4) gives

$$\int_\Omega \Big(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \lambda \, |u|^{N-2} \, u \, e^{\, u_+^{N'}} \, v \Big) \, dx = 0.$$

This then holds for all $v \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ by density, so u is a critical point of Φ.

Suppose $u = 0$. Then

$$\int_\Omega |u_j|^{N-1} \, e^{\, u_{j+}^{N'}} \, dx \to 0$$

by de Figueiredo et al. [8, Lemma 2.1] as above, and hence

$$\int_\Omega F(u_j) \, dx \to 0$$

by (3.2) and the dominated convergence theorem, so

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_j|^N \, dx \to Nc$$

by (3.3). Since $c < \alpha_N^{N-1}/N$, then $\limsup \|u_j\| < \alpha_N^{1/N'}$, so there exists $\beta > 1/\alpha_N^{1/N'}$ such that $\beta \|u_j\| \le 1$ for all sufficiently large j. For $1 < \gamma < \infty$ given by $1/\alpha_N \beta^{N'} + 1/\gamma = 1$, then

$$\int_\Omega |u_j|^N \, e^{u_{j+}^{N'}} \, dx \le \left(\int_\Omega |u_j|^{\gamma N} \, dx \right)^{1/\gamma} \left(\int_\Omega e^{\alpha_N (\beta u_{j+})^{N'}} \, dx \right)^{1/\alpha_N \beta^{N'}} \to 0$$

since $u_j \to 0$ in $L^{\gamma N}(\Omega)$ and the last integral is bounded by (1.3). Then $u_j \to 0$ in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ by (3.5), so $\Phi(u_j) \to 0$, contradicting $c \ne 0$. □

Let i, $\mathcal{M}$, Ψ, and $\lambda_k(N)$ be as in the introduction, and suppose that $\lambda_k(N) < \lambda_{k+1}(N)$. Then the sublevel set $\Psi^{\lambda_k(N)}$ has a compact symmetric subset E of index k that is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}_{\rm loc}(\Omega)$ (see Degiovanni and Lancelotti [11, Theorem 2.3]). We may assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \Omega$. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $B_{2/m}(0) \subset \Omega$, let

$$\eta_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \le 1/2\, m^{m+1} \\ 2\, m^m \left(|x| - \dfrac{1}{2\, m^{m+1}} \right) & \text{if } 1/2\, m^{m+1} < |x| \le 1/m^{m+1} \\ (m\, |x|)^{1/m} & \text{if } 1/m^{m+1} < |x| \le 1/m \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| > 1/m, \end{cases}$$

set

$$v_m(x) = \eta_m(x)\, v(x), \quad v \in E,$$

and let $E_m = \{\pi(v_m) : v \in E\}$, where $\pi : W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathcal{M}$, $u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ is the radial projection onto $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a constant $C = C(N, \Omega, k) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large m,*

(i) $\Psi(w) \le \lambda_k(N) + \dfrac{C}{m^{N-1}} \quad \forall w \in E_m,$

(ii) $E_m \cap \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(N)} = \emptyset,$

(iii) $i(E_m) = k.$

Proof. Let $v \in E$ and let $w = \pi(v_m)$. We have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^N \, dx \le \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{1/m}(0)} |\nabla v|^N \, dx + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \binom{N}{j} \int_{B_{1/m}(0)} \eta_m^{N-j} \, |\nabla v|^{N-j} \, |v|^j \, |\nabla \eta_m|^j \, dx.$$

Since E is bounded in $C^1(B_{1/m}(0))$, ∇v and v are bounded in $B_{1/m}(0)$. Clearly, $\eta_m \le 1$, and a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_{B_{1/m}(0)} |\nabla \eta_m|^j \, dx \le \frac{C}{m^{N-1}}, \quad j = 0, \dots, N.$$

Since $E_m \subset \mathcal{M}$, it follows that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^N \, dx \le 1 + \frac{C}{m^{N-1}}.$$

Next

$$\int_\Omega |v_m|^N \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{1/m}(0)} |v|^N \, dx = \int_\Omega |v|^N \, dx - \int_{B_{1/m}(0)} |v|^N \, dx \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_k(N)} - \frac{C}{m^N}$$

since $E \subset \Psi^{\lambda_k(N)}$. So

$$\Psi(w) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla v_m|^N \, dx}{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |v_m|^N \, dx} \le \lambda_k(N) + \frac{C}{m^{N-1}}$$

if m is sufficiently large. Taking m so large that $\lambda_k(N) + C/m^{N-1} < \lambda_{k+1}(N)$ then gives (ii). Since $E_m \subset \mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(N)}$ by (ii),

$$i(E_m) \le i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(N)}) = k$$

by the monotonicity of the index and (1.5). On the other hand, since $E \to E_m$, $v \mapsto \pi(v_m)$ is an odd continuous map,

$$i(E_m) \ge i(E) = k.$$

So $i(E_m) = k$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have $\lambda_k(N) < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}(N)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\lambda_k(N) < \lambda' < \lambda$ and m so large that the conclusions of Lemma 3.3 hold with $\lambda_k(N) + C/m^{N-1} \le \lambda'$, in particular,

$$\Psi(w) \le \lambda' \quad \forall w \in E_m. \tag{3.8}$$

Then take $A_0 = E_m$ and $B_0 = \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(N)}$, and note that A_0 and B_0 are disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$i(A_0) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus B_0) = k$$

by Lemma 3.3 (iii) and (1.5). Now let $R > r > 0$ and let A and B be as in Theorem 1.5.

First we show that $\inf \Phi(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small. Since $e^t \le 1 + te^t$ for all $t > 0$,

$$F(t) \le \frac{|t|^N}{N} + t_+^\mu \, e^{\, t_+^{N'}} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mu = N + N' > N$. So for $u \in \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}(N)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(ru) &\ge \int_\Omega \left[\frac{r^N}{N} \, |\nabla u|^N - \frac{\lambda r^N}{N} \, |u|^N - \lambda r^\mu \, u_+^\mu \, e^{\, r^{N'} u_+^{N'}} \right] dx \\ &\ge \frac{r^N}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}(N)} \right) - \lambda r^\mu \left(\int_\Omega e^{\, 2r^{N'} u_+^{N'}} \, dx \right)^{1/2} |u_+|_{2\mu}^\mu \, . \end{aligned}$$

If $2\, r^{N'} \le \alpha_N$, then

$$\int_\Omega e^{\, 2r^{N'} u_+^{N'}} \, dx \le \int_\Omega e^{\, \alpha_N \, u_+^{N'}} \, dx,$$

which is bounded by (1.3). Since $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2\mu}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda < \lambda_{k+1}(N)$, it follows that $\inf \Phi(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small.

Since $e^t \ge 1 + t$ for all $t > 0$,

$$F(t) \ge \frac{|t|^N}{N} + \frac{t_+^\mu}{\mu} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.9}$$

so for all $w \in E_m$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(tw) \leq \int_\Omega \left[\frac{t^N}{N} |\nabla w|^N - \frac{\lambda t^N}{N} |w|^N \right] dx = \frac{t^N}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\Psi(w)} \right) \leq -\frac{t^N}{N} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} - 1 \right) \leq 0 \tag{3.10}$$

by (3.8).

Next we show that

$$\sup_{w \in E_m,\, s,t \geq 0} \Phi(sw + tv_0) < \frac{\alpha_N^{N-1}}{N}$$

for a suitably chosen $v_0 \in \mathcal{M} \setminus E_m$. Let

$$v_j(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}^{1/N}} \begin{cases} (\log j)^{(N-1)/N} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1/j \\ \dfrac{\log |x|^{-1}}{(\log j)^{1/N}} & \text{if } 1/j < |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $v_j \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\|v_j\| = 1$, and $|v_j|_N^N = \mathrm{O}(1/\log j)$ as $j \to \infty$. We take

$$v_0(x) = \widetilde{v}_j(x) := v_j\left(\frac{x}{r_m} \right)$$

with $r_m = 1/2\, m^{m+1}$ and j sufficiently large. Since $B_{r_m}(0) \subset \Omega$, $\widetilde{v}_j \in W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ and $\|\widetilde{v}_j\| = 1$. For sufficiently large j,

$$\Psi(\widetilde{v}_j) = \frac{1}{r_m^N\ |v_j|_N^N} \geq \lambda$$

and hence $\widetilde{v}_j \notin E_m$ by (3.8). For $w \in E_m$ and s, $t \geq 0$,

$$\Phi(sw + t\widetilde{v}_j) = \Phi(sw) + \Phi(t\widetilde{v}_j)$$

since $w = 0$ on $B_{r_m}(0)$ and $\widetilde{v}_j = 0$ on $\Omega \setminus B_{r_m}(0)$. Since $\Phi(sw) \leq 0$ by (3.10), it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \Phi(t\widetilde{v}_j) < \frac{\alpha_N^{N-1}}{N}$$

for arbitrarily large j. Since $\Phi(t\widetilde{v}_j) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ by (3.9), there exists $t_j \geq 0$ such that

$$\Phi(t_j\widetilde{v}_j) = \frac{t_j^N}{N} - \lambda \int_{B_{rm}(0)} F(t_j\widetilde{v}_j)\,dx = \sup_{t\geq 0} \Phi(t\widetilde{v}_j) \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\Phi'(t_j\widetilde{v}_j)\,\widetilde{v}_j = t_j^{N-1}\left(1 - \lambda \int_{B_{rm}(0)} \widetilde{v}_j^N e^{\,t_j^{N'}\widetilde{v}_j^{N'}}\,dx\right) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Suppose $\Phi(t_j\widetilde{v}_j) \geq \alpha_N^{N-1}/N$ for all sufficiently large j. Since $F(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then (3.11) gives $t_j^{N'} \geq \alpha_N$, and then (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\lambda} &= \int_{B_{rm}(0)} \widetilde{v}_j^N e^{\,t_j^{N'}\widetilde{v}_j^{N'}}\,dx \geq \int_{B_{rm}(0)} \widetilde{v}_j^N e^{\,\alpha_N\,\widetilde{v}_j^{N'}}\,dx \\ &= r_m^N \int_{B_1(0)} v_j^N e^{\,\alpha_N\,v_j^{N'}}\,dx \geq r_m^N \int_{B_{1/j}(0)} v_j^N e^{\,\alpha_N\,v_j^{N'}}\,dx = \frac{r_m^N}{N}\,(\log j)^{N-1},\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible for large j.

Now we show that $\Phi \leq 0$ on A if R is sufficiently large. In view of (3.10), it only remains to show that $\Phi(Ru) \leq 0$ for $u = \pi((1-t)\,w + tv_0)$, $w \in E_m$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since

$$\|(1-t)\,w + tv_0\| \leq (1-t)\,\|w\| + t\,\|v_0\| = 1$$

and w and v_0 are supported on disjoint sets, we have

$$|u|_N^N = \frac{|(1-t)\,w + tv_0|_N^N}{\|(1-t)\,w + tv_0\|^N} \geq (1-t)^N\,|w|_N^N + t^N\,|v_0|_N^N \geq \frac{(1-t)^N}{\Psi(w)} \geq \frac{(1-t)^N}{\lambda'} \tag{3.13}$$

by (3.8), and

$$|u_+|_\mu^\mu = \frac{|[(1-t)\,w + tv_0]_+|_\mu^\mu}{\|(1-t)\,w + tv_0\|^\mu} \geq (1-t)^\mu\,|w_+|_\mu^\mu + t^\mu\,|v_0|_\mu^\mu \geq t^\mu\,|v_0|_\mu^\mu\,. \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.9), (3.13), and (3.14),

$$\Phi(Ru) \leq \frac{R^N}{N}\,\|u\|^N - \frac{\lambda R^N}{N}\,|u|_N^N - \frac{R^\mu}{\mu}\,|u_+|_\mu^\mu \leq -\frac{1}{N}\left[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}\,(1-t)^N - 1\right] R^N - \frac{1}{\mu}\,|v_0|_\mu^\mu\,t^\mu R^\mu.$$

The last expression is clearly nonpositive if $t \le 1 - (\lambda'/\lambda)^{1/N} =: t_0$. For $t > t_0$, it is nonpositive if R is sufficiently large.

The inequalities (1.6) now imply that $0 < c < \dfrac{\alpha_N^{N-1}}{N}$. If Φ has no $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequences, then Φ satisfies the $(\mathrm{C})_c$ condition trivially and hence c is a critical value of Φ by Theorem 1.5. If Φ has a $(\mathrm{C})_c$ sequence, then a subsequence converges weakly to a nontrivial critical point of Φ by Lemma 3.2. □

Acknowledgement: This work was completed while the first- and second-named authors were visiting the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science at the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and they are grateful for the kind hospitality of the host institution.

References

[1] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n-Laplacian. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 17(3), 1990, 393–413.

[2] Herbert Amann, Peter Hess, A multiplicity result for a class of elliptic boundary value problems. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 84(1-2),1979,145–151.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi, On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 93(4), 1972, 231–246.

[4] Thierry Aubin, Wenzhi Wang, Positive solutions of Ambrosetti-Prodi problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 125(4), 2001, 311–340.

[5] M. S. Berger, E. Podolak, On the solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 24, 1974/75, 837–846.

[6] Marta Calanchi, Bernhard Ruf, Elliptic equations with one-sided critical growth. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 89, 21 pp. (electronic), 2002.

[7] E. N. Dancer, On the ranges of certain weakly nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 57(4), 1978, 351–366.

[8] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, B. Ruf, Elliptic equations in $\mathbf{R}^2$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 3(2), 1995, 139–153.

[9] D. G. de Figueiredo, Jianfu Yang, Critical superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problems. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 14(1), 1999, 59–80.

[10] Marco Degiovanni, Sergio Lancelotti, Linking over cones and nontrivial solutions for p-Laplace equations with p-superlinear nonlinearity. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 24(6), 2007,907–919.

[11] Marco Degiovanni, Sergio Lancelotti, Linking solutions for p-Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(11), 2009, 3643–3659.

[12] Yinbin Deng, On the superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problem involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 17(12), 1991, 1111–1124.

[13] Edward R. Fadell, Paul H. Rabinowitz, Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems. *Invent. Math.*, 45(2), 1978, 139–174.

[14] Gerald B. Folland, *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[15] Mohammed Guedda and Laurent Véron, Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 13(8), 1989, 879–902.

[16] Jerry L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner, Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(5), 1975, 567–597.

[17] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1(1), 1985, 145–201.

[18] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. II. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 1(2), 1985,45–121.

[19] João Marcos B. do Ó, Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the N-Laplacian in $\mathbf{R}^N$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range. *Differential Integral Equations*, 9(5), 1996,967–979.

[20] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 20, 1970/71,1077–1092.

[21] Kanishka Perera, Nontrivial critical groups in p-Laplacian problems via the Yang index. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 21(2), 2003, 301–309.

[22] Kanishka Perera, Ravi P. Agarwal, Donal O'Regan, *Morse theoretic aspects of p-Laplacian type operators*, volume 161 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[23] Kanishka Perera, Andrzej Szulkin, p-Laplacian problems where the nonlinearity crosses an eigenvalue. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 13(3), 2005,743–753.

[24] Paul H. Rabinowitz, Some critical point theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic partial differential equations. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 5(1), 1978, 215–223.

[25] Neil S. Trudinger, On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17, 1967,473–483.

[26] Yang Yang, Kanishka Perera, N-Laplacian problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), to appear, `arXiv:1406.6242 [math.AP]`.

[27] Zhitao Zhang, Marta Calanchi, Bernhard Ruf, Elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with one-sided exponential growth. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 6(6), 2004,947–971.